Hadron interactions from lattice QCD

Yoichi Ikeda (RCNP, Osaka University)



HAL QCD (Hadrons to Atomic nuclei from Lattice QCD)

S. Aoki, T. Aoyama, Y. Akahoshi, K. Sasaki, T. Miyamoto (YITP, Kyoto Univ.)
T. Doi, T. M. Doi, S. Gongyo, T. Hatsuda, T. Iritani, T. Sugiura (RIKEN)
Y. Ikeda, N. Ishii, K. Murano, H. Nemura (RCNP, Osaka Univ.)
T. Inoue (Nihon Univ.)

Few-body systems in Hadron, Nuclear, Atomic and Molecular Physics Aug. 9, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama

Lattice QCD =1st-principles calculation of QCD

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu
u}G^{\mu
u}_a + ar{q}\gamma^\mu(i\partial_\mu - oldsymbol{g}t^aA^a_\mu)q - oldsymbol{m}ar{q}q$$

 \star strong coupling $\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi \sim 1$, non-Abelian --> non-trivial vacuum...



• path integral formulation

$$Z = \int [dU] [dar q dq] \; \exp\Bigl(-\int d au d^3 x {\cal L}_E\Bigr)$$

typically (30-100)⁴ ~ 10⁶⁻⁸ sites employed

Monte Carlo Simulations

input parameters

- ▶ quark mass m_q
- ▶ gauge coupling g

observables <O(r,t)>

▶ ensemble average of O



Single hadron spectroscopy from LQCD

★ Low-lying hadrons on physical point (physical m_q)





$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0 | \phi(\vec{x}, t) \phi(\vec{0}, 0)^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

= $A_1 e^{-M_1 t} + A_2 e^{-M_2 t} + A_3 e^{-M_3 t} + \cdot$
 $C(t)$



 \checkmark a few % accuracy achieved for single hadrons

➡ Next challenge in spectroscopy : hadron resonances

Hadron resonances

Particle data group

http://www-pdg.lbl.gov/

p	1/2+		A(1232)	3/2+		X+	1/2+	••••	20	1/2+		A.*	1/2+	••••
11	1/2+		∆(1600)	3/2+	***	Σ^{0}	1/2+		2-	1/2+		A _c (2595) ⁺	1/2"	***
N(1440)	$1/2^+$	****	∆ (1620)	$1/2^{-}$	****	Σ-	$1/2^+$		Ξ(1530)	3/2+		A _c (2625)+	3/2-	***
N(1520)	3/2-		∆(1700)	3/2-	****	$\Sigma(1385)$	3/2+	****	$\Xi(1620)$		•	A,(2765)+		
N(1535)	$1/2^{-}$	****	A(1750)	$1/2^{+}$	•	$\Sigma(1480)$		•	$\Xi(1690)$		***	$\Lambda_{c}(2880)^{+}$	5/2+	***
N(1650)	1/2-	****	∆(1900)	1/2~	**	Σ(1560)		**	Ξ(1820)	3/2-	***	$\Lambda_{L}(2940)^{+}$		***
N(1675)	5/2-	••••	⊿(1905)	5/2+		$\Sigma(1580)$	3/2-	•	IT(1950)		•••	$\Sigma_{c}(2455)$	$1/2^+$	****
N(1680)	5/2+		$\Delta(1910)$	$1/2^+$	****	$\Sigma(1620)$	1/2-	•	E(2030)	$\geq \frac{5}{2}^{7}$	•••	$\Sigma_{c}(2520)$	$3/2^+$	***
N(1700)	3/2-	•••	$\Delta(1920)$	$3/2^{+}$	***	$\Sigma(1660)$	$1/2^{+}$	•••	Ξ(2120)		•	$\Sigma_{c}(2800)$		***
N(1710)	$1/2^+$		$\Delta(1930)$	5/2-	***	$\Sigma(1670)$	3/2-		Ξ(2250)		**	Ξ_c^+	$1/2^{+}$	***
N(1720)	$3/2^+$		∆(1940)	3/2-	**	$\Sigma(1690)$	See.	••	Ξ(2370)		**	20	$1/2^+$	***
N(1860)	5/2+	••	∆ (1950)	7/2+	****	$\Sigma(1730)$	3/2+	•	E[2500]		•	±"+	$1/2^{+}$	•••
N(1875)	3/2-	•••	∆(2000)	5/2+	••	$\Sigma(1750)$	1/2-					22	$1/2^{+}$	***
N(1880)	$1/2^+$		$\Delta(2150)$	1/2-	•	$\Sigma(1770)$	$1/2^+$	•	Ω-	3/2+		三(2645)	3/2+	***
N(1895)	1/2-		$\Delta(2200)$	7/2-	•	Σ(1775)	5/2-		12(2250)-			$\Xi_{c}(2790)$	$1/2^{}$	***
N(1900)	3/2+		∆(2300)	9/2+		£(1040)	3/2+		12(2380)			IC(2815)	3/2-	***
N(1990)	1/2+		∆(2350)	5/2-	:	Σ(1880)	1/2+		12(2470)-			$\Xi_{c}(2930)$		•
N(2000)	5/2+		A(2390)	1/2*		Σ(1900)	1/2					$\Xi_{c}(2970)$		***
N(2040)	3/2"	-	A(2400)	9/2		2(1915)	5/2*					$\Xi_{c}(3055)$		***
N(2060)	5/2		2(2420)	11/2		Z(1940)	3/2'					$\Xi_{c}(3080)$		***
A(2100)	1/2		A(2050)	15/2		Z (1940)	3/2					= _c (3123)		•
A(2120)	3/2		A(2950)	15/2	- C	Z (2000)	1/2					Ω_c^0	1/2+	
A(2220)	0/2+			1/2+		2 (2030)	5/2+					$\Omega_{c}(2770)^{0}$	3/2+	••••
A[228/B	9/2-		A(1405)	1/2-		T(2000)	3/2+							
A[2300]	1/2+		A(1520)	3/2-		T(2100)	7/2-					±		•
N(2570)	5/2-		A(1600)	1/2+		£(2250)	./.					40	1/24	
N[2600]	11/2-		A(1670)	1/2-	****	T(2455)						A (6012)8	1/4	
N[2700]	13/2+		A[1690]	3/2-		£(2620)						A (\$000)0	1/2	
			A[1710]	$1/2^+$		£(3000)						(1)(3120)	1/2	
			A(1800)	$1/2^{-}$	***	X(3170)						5.0	3/2+	
			A(1810)	$1/2^{+}$	***	1.1.1.1						-0	1/2+	
			A(1820)	5/2+	****							=3+=3	1/21	
			A(1830)	5/2-	****							=_(\$945)D	3/2+	
			A[1890]	$3/2^{+}$	****			-				T*(5055)-	3/2+	
			A[2000]		•							0-	1/2+	
			A[2020]	7/2+	•							123	1/2.	
			A(2050)	3/2-	•		(P.(4380)+		
			A[2100]	7/2-								P-(4450)+		
			A[2110]	5/2+			1							
			A[2325]	3/2-	•									
			A(2350)	9/2*										
			A[2585]											

	LIGHT UN	FLAVORED		STRAN	IGE	CHARMED, 5	TRANGE	cc		
	(S = C	-B = 0	100.000	(S= ±1. C	= B = I)	(C = S =	#10		$P(f^{c})$	
	$P(P^{c})$		P(Pc)		(/)		(17)	 η_c(15) 	0+(0-+)	
• 2 ⁻¹	1-{0-}	 \$\rho_1(1690)\$ 	$1^{+}(3^{})$	• K ²	$1/2[0^{-}]$	• D_5	0(0")	 J/ψ(15) 	0-(1)	
• =	1-[0-+]	 <i>ρ</i>(1700) 	$1^{+}(1^{-})$	• K*	1/2[0-)	• D ^{**}	0(51)	• $\chi_{c0}(1P)$	0+(0++)	
• 1	0.10-11	æ(1700)	$1^{-}(2^{+})$	• K3	1/2(0~)	 D[*]₅₁(2317)[±] 	0(0+)	• $\chi_{c1}(1P)$	0+(1++)	
 fg(500) 	0+(0++)	 f₈(1710) 	0+(0++)	• K2	1/2(0~)	 D₅₀(2460)[±] 	0(1+)	• h _c (1P)	7:[1+-]	
 ρ(770) 	$1^{+}(1^{-})$	η(1760)	$0^{+}(0^{-+})$	A'*(000)	$1/2[0^+)$	 D₁(2536)[±] 	0(1+)	 χ₁₂(1P) 	0 - (2 + +)	
 □(782) 	0-(1)	• r (1800)	$1^{-}(0^{-+})$	 K*(892) 	$1/2(1^{-})$	 D₁₂(2573) 	0(2+)	• nc(25)	0+(0-+)	
• ŋ'(958)	0+(0-+)	6(1810)	$0^{+}(2^{++})$	 K:(1270) 	$1/2(1^+)$	 D[*]₈₅(2700)[±] 	0(1-)	 €(25) 	0-(1)	
 fg(980) 	0+[0++]	X(1835)	? (0)	 K; (1400) 	$1/2(1^+)$	$D_{21}^{*}(2060)^{\pm}$	0(1-)	• \$(3770)	0-(1)	
• Ap[980]	1-[0++]	X(1840)	7'(7'')	 K*(1410) 	$1/2(1^{-})$	D*(2860)=	0(3-)	 \$\phi(3823)\$ 	2.15	
 d(1020) 	0-(1)	a ₁ (1420)	$1^{-}(1^{+})$	 K[*]_g(1430) 	$1/2[0^+]$	D,1(3040)+	0(??)	• X(3872)	0-(1+-)	
 P1(1170) 	0-(1)	 φ₃(1850) 	0-(3)	 K[*]₂(1430) 	$1/2(2^+)$			• X(3900)	1-(1)	
 b₁(1235) 	1-(1)	72(1870)	$0^{+}(2^{-+})$	K(1460)	1/2[0")	BOTTO	2M	• X(3915)	0-[0/2]	
 a₁(1260) 	1-(1++)	 \pi_2(1990) 	1-(2-+)	$K_2(1580)$	1/2(2-)	18 - 1	0	 <i>χ_{CD}</i>(2P) 	0.(2)	
 §[1270] 	0+(2++)	p(1900)	1+(1)	A(1630)	$1/2(7^7)$	• B=	1/2(0~)	X(3940)	1.(j)	
 6.[1285] 	0+(1++)	6(1910)	0+(2++)	K;(1650)	$1/2(1^+)$	• E ⁰	$1/2(0^{-})$	• X[4020]	1(1.)	
• t(1295)	0-[0-+]	a ₀ (1950)	$1^{-}(0^{++})$	 K*(1680) 	$1/2(1^{-})$	• B=/B ⁰ ADN	DUTURE	• £[4040]	0 [1]	
• + (1300)	1-(0-+)	 6(1950) 	0+(2++)	 K₂(1770) 	$1/2(2^{-})$	• B=/B ² /B ²	b baryon	X(4050)*	n(r)	
 s₂(1320) 	1-(2++)	p ₃ (1990)	1-(3)	 K[*]₃(1780) 	$1/2[3^{-}]$	Ve and Ve	CKM Ma-	X[4055]~	n(r)	
• l _b (1370)	0-(0)	 f2(2010) 	0-(2++)	 K₂(1820) 	$1/2(2^{})$	trix Elements		• X[4140]	0.0.1	
h(1380)	1 (1 -)	Ig(2020)	0-[0++]	K(1830)	$1/2(0^{-})$	• B*	$1/2(1^{-})$	• p(+160)	37(377)	
• T ₁ [1400]	1 (1 - 1	 a_i(2040) 	1 [4]	K [*] ₆ (1950)	$1/2[0^+]$	 B₁(5721)⁺ 	$1/2(1^+)$	A(4160)	I.(I.)	
• s(1405)	0-[0-1]	• 6(2050)	0-[4++]	A';(1980)	$1/2(2^+)$	 B₁(5721)⁰ 	$1/2(1^+)$	X(4200)*	20	
• ((1420)	0-(1)	#2[2100]	1 [2]	 K[*]₄(2045) 	1/2[4+]	B [*] _J (5732)	3(5)	X(4230) X(4240)3	aliana)	
• G(1420)	0 (1)	fg(2100)	0-10-1	K ₂ (2250)	$1/2(2^{-1})$	 B[*]₂(\$747)⁺ 	$1/2(2^+)$	X(4240)*	110 1	
h[1430]	0-[2]	6(2150)	0-[2]	K ₃ (2320)	$1/2(3^+)$	 B[*]₂(5747)⁸ 	$1/2(2^+)$	A(4250)*	20	
 a)[1450] a)(1450) 	1 0	p(2150)	1-(1-)	A';(2380)	1/2(57)	B ₂ (5840)+	$1/2(?^2)$	• X(4250)	a+c27+1	
 p(1450) (1475) 	1-(1) e+(0 - +)	• ((2170)	0 (1)	K4(2500)	$1/2(4^{-})$	B ₁ (5840) ³	$1/2(7^{2})$	X(4350)	22(1)	
• 1(16/5)	0-10-1	fg(2200)	0.10.11	K(3100)	13(133)	 B_A(5970)⁺ 	$1/2(7^7)$	• X(4300)	0-0	
• 6(1500)	0-10-1	1/(2220)	0.12			 B₁(9970)³ 	$1/2(7^7)$	• V(4430)*	2(1+)	
((1838))	0 (1)	-(2222)	0f 4 j	CHARN	AED	BORROW C	TO ANCE	• X(4450)	20	
• (21545)	0 (2)	9(2225)	1 + (1	(c =)		BUTTOM, S	- THORNGE	• ×(esso)	r(t)	
0[1000]	0.(2)	pg(2250)	1 (3)	• D ²	1/2[0"]	(10 =)= 1, 0		6	ь	
p(1570)	1-(1-)	• [2300]	0-[2]	• D ⁰	1/2(0-)	• B ⁰ ₅	0(0_)	• m(15)	$a^{+}(0^{-+})$	
af (1949)	1=(1 = +)	6 (28300)	0+0++1	• D*(2007)*	1/2(1-)	• B [*] ₂	0(1-)	• T(15)	0-(1)	
• T1(2000)	1-0++1	4(2330)	0 10 1	 D*(2010)= 	$1/2(1^{-})$	 B_{g1}(5830)⁶ 	0(1+)	• Y=(1.P)	0+00++1	
31(1640)	0+(0++)	• (2350)	1 + (x)	 D[*]_{ij}(2400)⁰ 	$1/2[0^+)$	 B[*]₄₂(5840)⁸ 	0(2+)	• Y= (1P)	0+(1++)	
(1645)	0 (2)	ps(2350)	1-(4++)	D _g (2400)*	1/2[0+)	B*, (5850)	3(5,)	• h.(1P)	770 + -1	
• (1650)	0 [2]	6 (2450)	0+(6++)	 D₁(2420)^a 	$1/2(1^+)$	BOTTOM C	HARMED	• xxe(1P)	0+12++1	
• u(1630)	0 (1)	#[12210]	0.10 1	D1(2420)1	$1/2(l_{1})$	(0 = C =	+1)	n.(25)	0+10-+1	
 ug(1670) 	1-(2-2)	OTHER	RLIGHT	D1(5430)	$1/2(1^{+})$	- #+	n(n-)	 T[25] 	0-(1)	
• 1/36803	4 (2)	Further St	ates	 D₂(2460)⁰ 	$1/2(2^+)$	8 000	010)	• T(1D)	0-121	
· 0(10003	0 (1)			 D[*]₂(2460)[±] 	$1/2(2^+)$	B ₂ (25)*	0(0.)	• Ym(2P)	0+10++1	
		1		D(2550)*	$1/2(?^{1})$			• xx (2P)	0+(1++)	
		1		D [*] _j (2500)	1/2(?')			h.(2P)	77(1+-)	
		1		D*(2640)*	$1/2(7^{f})$			• xas(2P)	0+(2++)	
				D(2740)8	$1/2(?^7)$			• T[35]	0-(1)	
				D(2750)	$1/2[3^{-}]$			• Xx (3P)	0+(1++)	
				D(3000) ⁸	$1/2(7^2)$			• T[45]	0-0	
								• X(10610)*	1+0+1	
								• X(10610)	1+0+1	
								X(10650)*	7+(1+1	
								• T(10960)	0-(1)	
								• T(11020)	0-(1)	
							- C.2	(- (-)	

- Most hadrons are consistent with qqq / qq^{bar} quantum number (non-trivial)
- Only 10% is stable, others are unstable (resonances) and some can be fake..
- Understanding hadron resonances from QCD is important issue in hadron physics

Tetraquark candidate Z_c(3900)



- peak in $\pi^{+/-}J/\psi$ invariant mass (minimal quark content cc^{bar} ud^{bar} <--> tetraquark?)
- M ~ 3900, Γ ~ 60 MeV (Breit-Wigner, Flatte) --> just above D^{bar}D* threshold
- J^{PC}=1⁺⁻ is most probable <--> couple to s-wave meson-meson states

Tetraquark candidate Z_c(3900)

\star structure of Z_c(3900) studied by models





conclusion not achievedpoor information on interactions

 \star LQCD simulations for Z_c(3900)



Z_c(3900) on the lattice

Conventional approach: temporal correlation
 identify all relevant W_n(L) (n=0,1,2,3,...)

$$\langle 0 | \Phi(x) \Phi^{\dagger}(0) | 0 \rangle = A_1 e^{-W_1 \tau} + A_2 e^{-W_2 \tau} + \cdots$$
(W₁, W₂, ... are eigen-energies)
e.g., 4-quark operator

 $\Phi(x) = \bar{q}(x)\bar{q}(x)q(x)q(x)$

✓ No positive evidence for $Z_c(3900)$ in $J^{PC}=1^{+-1}$

(observed spectrum consistent with scat. states)

S. Prelovsek et al., PLB 727 (2013), PRD91 (2015). S.-H. Lee et al., PoS Lattice2014 (2014).

* Why is the peak observed in expt.?

(broad) resonance? threshold effect?

★ How can we find resonance in LQCD data?



variational method

Strategy for studies of resonances from LQCD

Solution Solution $\langle 0 | 0 \rangle$

<u>Conventional approach</u> $\langle 0 | \Phi(x) \Phi^{\dagger}(0) | 0 \rangle = A_1 e^{-W_1 \tau} + A_2 e^{-W_2 \tau} + \cdots$ (W₁, W₂, ... are eigen-energies)

e.g., 4-quark operator

 $\Phi(x) = \bar{q}(x)\bar{q}(x)q(x)q(x)$





hadron resonances

★ Resonance energy does NOT correspond to eigen-energy

- ★ Resonances are embedded into coupled-channel scattering states
- Resonance energy is determined from pole of coupled-channel S-matrix

Strategy for studies of resonances from LQCD



- tetraquark candidate Z_c(3900)
- dibaryon systems
- summary

hadron resonances

Hadronic interactions from LQCD

hadronic correlation function

 \boldsymbol{n}

$$egin{aligned} \widehat{C}_{(2)}(ec{r},t) &\equiv \langle 0 | \phi_1(ec{r},t) \phi_2(ec{0},t) \mathcal{J}^\dagger(t=0) | 0
angle \ &= \sum A_n \psi_n(ec{r}) e^{-W_n t} \end{aligned}$$





- Energy eigenvalue Wn(L)
- NBS (Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter) wave function $\psi_n(r)$ (--> $sin(k_nr + \delta(k_n)) / k_nr$)

C.D. Lee et al., NPB619 (2001).

- HAL QCD Method (derive potential as representation of S-matrix)
 - $\psi_n(r) \rightarrow 2PI$ kernel ($\psi = \varphi + G_0 U \psi$)

$$egin{split} ig(
abla^2 + k_n^2 ig) \, \psi_n(ec{r}) &= 2 \mu \int dec{r}' oldsymbol{U}(ec{r}, ec{r}') \psi_n(ec{r}') \ U(ec{r}, ec{r}') &\equiv \sum_{n < n_{ ext{th}}} \left(E_n - H_0
ight) \psi_n(ec{r}) ar{\psi}_n(ec{r}') \end{split}$$

--> phase shift, resonance pole, ...

Ishii, Aoki, Hatsuda, PRL 99, 022001 (2007). Ishii et al. [HAL QCD], PLB 712, 437 (2012).

Results on Z_c(3900) in I^G(J^{PC})=1⁺(1⁺⁻)

Y. Ikeda et al., [HAL QCD], PRL117, 242001 (2016).



 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{light\ meson\ mass\ (MeV)} \\ m_{\pi} = \ \textbf{411(1)},\ \textbf{572(1)},\ \textbf{701(1)} \\ m_{\rho} = \ \textbf{896(8)},\ \textbf{1000(5)},\ \textbf{1097(4)} \end{array}$

 $\frac{\text{charm meson mass (MeV)}}{m_{\eta c} = 2988(1), \ 3005(1), \ 3024(1)} \\ m_{J/\psi} = 3097(1), \ 3118(1), \ 3143(1) \\ m_D = 1903(1), \ 1947(1), \ 2000(1) \\ m_{D^*} = 2056(3), \ 2101(2), \ 2159(2) \\ \end{cases}$

- s-wave coupled-channel ($\pi J/\psi \rho \eta_c D^{bar}D^*$) potential
- 2-body observable
- comparison w/ expt. data

3x3 potential matrix ($\pi J/\psi$ - $\rho \eta_c$ - $D^{bar}D^*$)



3x3 potential matrix ($\pi J/\psi$ - $\rho\eta_c$ - $D^{bar}D^*$)



3x3 potential matrix ($\pi J/\psi - \rho \eta_c - D^{bar}D^*$)



Mass spectra of $\pi J/\psi$ (2-body scattering)

 \star 2-body scattering (the most ideal to understand Z_c(3900))



Enhancement just above D^{bar}D* threshold

= effect of strong $V^{\pi J/\psi}$, DbarD* (black --> $V^{\pi J/\psi}$, DbarD*=0)

Ine shape not Breit-Wigner

✓ Is Z_c(3900) a conventional resonance? --> pole of S-matrix

Pole of S-matrix on complex energy plane



Pole of S-matrix ($\pi J/\psi$:2nd, $\rho\eta_c$:2nd, $D^{bar}D^*$:2nd)



- Pole corresponding to "virtual state"
- Pole contribution to scat. observable is small (far from scat. axis)
- Z_c(3900) is not a resonance but "threshold cusp" induced by strong V^{πJ/ψ,DbarD*}

Invariant mass of 3-body decay

Ikeda [HAL QCD], J. Phys. G45, 024002 (2018).



t-matrix for subsystem obtained from V^{LQCD}(r)

• Expt. data well reproduced with cusp scenario

conclusion: Z_c(3900) is threshold cusp caused by strong V^{πJ/ψ, DbarD*}

Octet BB forces & H-dibaryon





Generalized BB forces in flavor SU(3) limit

♦ Full QCD in SU(3)_F limit : m_{π} ~0.47GeV, L=3.9 fm

Inoue et al. (HAL QCD), PRL106 (2011), NPA881 (2012).

 \star potentials in flavor symmetric channels, 27 + 8_s + 1



H-dibaryon?

origin of repulsive core <--> Pauli principle

(+ gluon exchange)

see, Oka & Yazaki, NPA464 (1987)

Fate of H-dibaryon @ almost physical point

N_f=2+1 full QCD, m_π~0.146GeV (almost physical), L~8.1fm (large volume)



Fate of H-dibaryon @ almost physical point





Original prediction of H-dibaryon

Jaffe (1977) based on quark model, <u>"Perhaps a Stable Dihyperon"</u>

Answer from QCD for H-dibaryon

"Perhaps near threshold Dihyperon"



Extension to decuplet baryon Ω



- $\Omega N(^{5}S_{2})$: 8 x 10 = 35 + 8 + 10 + 27
- $\Omega \Omega ({}^{1}S_{0})$: $10 \times 10 = 28 + 27 + 35 + 10^{*}$

Both are Pauli allowed states

Ω-dibaryon systems @ almost physical point



• entirely attractive

Iritani et al. [HAL QCD], PLB792 (2019).

Gongyo, Sasaki et al. [HAL QCD], PRL120 (2018).



repulsive core + attractive pocket
 repulsive force by gluon exchange
 <u>Oka, Yazaki (1980)</u>

Ω-dibaryon systems @ almost physical point





- Z_c(3900) is threshold cusp induced by strong V^{DbarD*}, πJ/ψ
- H particle is very close to NE threshold
- Ω -dibaryons are similar to deuteron

Future: from quarks to hadrons, nuclei & neutron stars



Collaboration w/ Prof. Emiko Hiyama



肥山さん、文部科学大臣表彰「科学技術賞」の受賞 おめでとうございます!!