Chiral partner contribution to sound velocity peak in dense two-color QCD

Mamiya Kawaguchi

Postdoctoral Researcher at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

M. K., D. Suenaga 2402.00430 [hep-ph]

2024/4/22

Outline

1. Introduction

• Mass-radius relations and sound velocity

2. Sound velocity in 2-color dense QCD

- Similarity/difference between QC₂D and QC₃D
- Lattice observation

3. Effective model analysis in 2-color QCD

- Two color linear sigma model
- Our work in M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

4. Summary

1. Introduction

• Mass-radius relations and sound velocity

Mass-radius relations and QCD

Mass-radius relations of neutron stars



Mass-radius relations and QCD



Restriction on energy-pressure relations

Mass-radius relations of neutron stars



~12km

Observations: e.g. M. C. Miller, et al. Astrophys. J. Lett. 918, no.2, L28 (2021)

- 12.35 \pm 0.75 km for 2.08 M_{\odot}
- 12.45 \pm 0.65 km for 1.4 M_{\odot}



are also restricted.

Restriction and sound velocity





Reflected in sound velocity: $c_s^2 = \partial p / \partial \epsilon$





n₀: saturation density of normal nuclear matter

~12km

Restriction and sound velocity

Density dependence of sound velocity is essential features.

G. Baym et al., Rept. Prog. Phys. 81, no.5, 056902 (2018) Y. J. Huang et al., PRL129, no.18, 181101 (2022)



Restriction and sound velocity

Density dependence of sound velocity is essential features.

G. Baym et al., Rept. Prog. Phys. 81, no.5, 056902 (2018) Y. J. Huang et al., PRL129, no.18, 181101 (2022)



Sound velocity and lattice simulation

Peak structure in sound velocity is essential features.



Sound velocity and lattice simulation

Peak structure in sound velocity is essential features.

We can avoid the sign problem in two-color dense QCD.

>>>

Sound velocity has been evaluated in the QC₂D lattice simulation.

Kei lida and Etsuko Itou PTEP, 2022(11):111B01, 2022.



2. Sound velocity in 2-color dense QCD

- Similarity/difference between QC₂D and QC₃D
- Lattice observation

Similarity between QC₂D and QC₃D

2-color QCD (QC₂D) system



Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking also occurs in low energy regime of QC₂D.

"QCD like theory" may be helpful to deepen understanding of "real QCD physics".

Chiral symmetry in dense QC₂D

2-color QCD (QC₂D) system



also occurs in low energy regime of QC_2D .

Chiral symmetry in dense QC₂D

2-color QCD (QC₂D) system



Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking also occurs in low energy regime of QC₂D.

Density dependence of $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$



Chiral symmetry is partially restored.

Difference between QC₂D and QC₃D



Phase transition in dense QC₂D



occurs in dense system.

8

2. Sound velocity in 2-color dense QCD

- Similarity/difference between QC₂D and QC₃D
- Lattice observation

Sound velocity in dense QC₂D lattice simulation



Kei lida and Etsuko Itou PTEP, 2022(11):111B01, 2022.

After the phase transition, it exceeds the conformal limit $c_s^2 = 1/3$.

*At sufficiently large μ (where scale of theory is dominated by only μ : $p \sim \mu^4$), it converges on $c_s^2 = 1/3$.

9

Appearance of peak structure in QCD-like theory

Sound velocity in dense QC₂D lattice simulation



Kei lida and Etsuko Itou PTEP, 2022(11):111B01, 2022.

After the phase transition, it exceeds the conformal limit $c_s^2 = 1/3$.

*At sufficiently large μ (where scale of theory is dominated by only μ : $p \sim \mu^4$), it converges on $c_s^2 = 1/3$.

Appearance of peak structure in QCD-like theory

May support presence of peak structure in real-life QCD.

QC₂D lattice simulation vs ChPT



Purpose of this study

Address the discrepancy between lattice simulation and effective model.



ChPT is adaptable for only the low-energy regime. (because it is constructed by only Nambu-Goldstone bosons.)

Purpose of this study

Address the discrepancy between lattice simulation and effective model.



What contributions provide peak structure?

ChPT and hadron contributions



Effective models in QC₂D

QC₂D energy scale



Extended linear sigma model D. Suenaga, K. Murakami, E. Itou and K. Iida, [arXiv:2312.17017 [hep-ph]].

Linear sigma model (LSM)

D. Suenaga, K. Murakami, E. Itou and K. Iida, PRD 107, no.5, 054001 (2023)

Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT)

Effective model in our study

QC₂D energy scale



Extended linear sigma model D. Suenaga, K. Murakami, E. Itou and K. Iida, [arXiv:2312.17017 [hep-ph]].

Linear sigma model (LSM) D. Suenaga, K. Murakami, E. Itou and K. Iida, PRD 107, no.5, 054001 (2023)

Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT)

In this study, we employ the LSM.

3. Effective model analysis in 2-color QCD

- Two color linear sigma model
- Our work in M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

15

Linear sigma model is based on spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking



Linear sigma model is based on spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking

Building block: $\Sigma = (S^i - i P^i) X^i E$ Chiral symmetry: $\Sigma \rightarrow g\Sigma g^T$ $g \in SU(4)$



15

- Hadron fields: P^i NG bosons, S^i chiral partners
- Xⁱ: SU(4) generators E: symplectic matrix



- $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ is extended to SU(4).
 - Symmetry breaking pattern: $SU(4) \rightarrow Sp(4)$.
 - 5 NG bosons appear.

Linear sigma model

is based on spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking



15

Linear sigma model is based on spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking

Building block:
$$\Sigma = (S^i - i P^i) X^i E$$

Chiral symmetry: $\Sigma \rightarrow g\Sigma g^T$
 $g \in SU(4)$

Chiral invariant Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LSM} = {\rm tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Sigma] - V_0 - V_{\rm SB}$$

- Baryon chemical potential
- Spontaneous symmetry breaking
- Explicit symmetry breaking

• $D_{\mu}\Sigma = \partial_{\mu}\Sigma - i\mu_{q}\delta_{\mu0}(J\Sigma + \Sigma J^{T})$ • $V_{0} = m_{0}^{2}\mathrm{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma] + \lambda_{1}(\mathrm{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma])^{2} + \lambda_{2}\mathrm{tr}[(\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma)^{2}]$

•
$$V_{\rm SB} = -\frac{m_l \bar{c}}{2} \operatorname{tr}[E^{\dagger} \Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger} E]$$

Linear sigma model is based on spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking

Building block: $\Sigma = (S^i - i P^i) X^i E$ Chiral symmetry: $\Sigma \rightarrow g\Sigma g^T$ $g \in SU(4)$

Chiral invariant Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LSM} = {\rm tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Sigma] - V_0 - V_{\rm SB}$$

Mean-field approximation:

 $\langle \Sigma \rangle = (\sigma_0 X_0 - i \Delta X_5) E$

Sigma meson: $\sigma_0 = \langle \sigma \rangle \sim \langle \overline{q}q \rangle$ Positive parity baryon: $\Delta = \langle B \rangle \sim \langle qq \rangle$ 16

Mimics QC_2D phase transition from hadron phase to baryon superfluid phase.

From LSM to ChPT

Linear sigma model is based on spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking

Building block: $\Sigma = (S^i - i P^i) X^i E$ Chiral symmetry: $\Sigma \rightarrow g\Sigma g^T$ $g \in SU(4)$

Integrating out chiral partners Sⁱ...

Building block: $\Sigma \sim -f_{\pi} \operatorname{Exp}[i P'^{i} X^{i}]E = U$ Chiral symmetry: $U \rightarrow gUg^{T}$

π

From LSM to ChPT

Linear sigma model is based on spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking

Building block: $\Sigma = (S^i - i P^i) X^i E$ Chiral symmetry: $\Sigma \rightarrow g\Sigma g^T$ $g \in SU(4)$

Integrating out chiral partners S^i ...

Building block: $\Sigma \sim -f_{\pi} \operatorname{Exp}[i P'^{i} X^{i}]E = U$ Chiral symmetry: $U \rightarrow gUg^{T}$

Mean-field approximation:

$$\langle U \rangle = -f_{\pi} \operatorname{Exp}[i \Delta' X^5] E$$

ChPT also provides the phase transition.

Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ChPT}} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U \right] + \frac{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[EU + U^{\dagger} E^{\dagger} \right]$$

3. Effective model analysis in 2-color QCD

- Two color linear sigma model
- Our work in M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

ChPT Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ChPT}} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U \right] + \frac{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[E U + U^{\dagger} E^{\dagger} \right]$$

LSM Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{LSM}} = \text{tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Sigma] - m_{0}^{2}\text{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma] - \lambda_{1}(\text{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma])^{2} - \lambda_{2}\text{tr}[(\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma)^{2}] + \frac{m_{l}\bar{c}}{2}\text{tr}[E^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}E]$$

$$\pi \quad \mathbf{\sigma}$$

Let's focus on baryonic matter (superfluid phase)

ChPT Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ChPT}} = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\mu} U \right] \\ + \frac{f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[E U + U^{\dagger} E^{\dagger} \right]$$

Mean-field approximation:

 $\langle U \rangle = -f_{\pi} \operatorname{Exp}[i \Delta' X^5] E$

LSM Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{LSM}} = \text{tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Sigma] - m_{0}^{2}\text{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma] - \lambda_{1}(\text{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma])^{2}$$
$$-\lambda_{2}\text{tr}[(\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma)^{2}] + \frac{m_{l}\bar{c}}{2}\text{tr}[E^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}E]$$
$$\pi \quad \bullet \quad \sigma$$

Thermodynamic quantities:

$$p = -V^{\text{mean}}$$

$$\epsilon = -p + \mu_q n$$

 $n = \partial p / \partial \mu_q$

Mean-field approximation:

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = (\sigma_0 X_0 - i \Delta X_5) E$$

ChPT Lagrangian

$$p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} = f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left(\bar{\mu} - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}}\right)^2$$

LSM Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LSM} = \operatorname{tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Sigma] - m_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma] - \lambda_{1}(\operatorname{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma])^{2}$$
$$-\lambda_{2}\operatorname{tr}[(\Sigma^{\dagger}\overline{\Sigma})^{2}] + \frac{m_{l}\overline{c}}{2}\operatorname{tr}[E^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}E]$$
$$\boldsymbol{\pi} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad \delta \overline{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^{2} \equiv \frac{m_{\sigma}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}}{(\mu_{q}^{\rm cr})^{2}}$$
$$p_{\rm LSM}^{\rm sub} = p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} + f_{\pi}^{2}m_{\pi}^{2} \left[\frac{4}{\delta \overline{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^{2}}(\overline{\mu}^{2} - 1)^{2}\right]$$

 m_{π} and m_{σ} are vacuum masses.

ChPT Lagrangian

$$p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} = f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left(\bar{\mu} - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}}\right)^2$$

LSM Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LSM} = \operatorname{tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Sigma] - m_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma] - \lambda_{1}(\operatorname{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma])^{2} - \lambda_{2}\operatorname{tr}[(\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma)^{2}] + \frac{m_{l}\bar{c}}{2}\operatorname{tr}[E^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}E]$$



Chiral partner contribution

$$\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 \equiv \frac{m_{\sigma}^2 - m_{\pi}^2}{(\mu_q^{\rm cr})^2}$$

19

$$p_{\rm LSM}^{\rm sub} = p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} + f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left[\frac{4}{\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2} (\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)^2 \right]$$

 m_{π} and m_{σ} are vacuum masses.

ChPT Lagrangian

$$p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} = f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left(\bar{\mu} - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}}\right)^2$$

LSM Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LSM} = \operatorname{tr}[D_{\mu}\Sigma^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Sigma] - m_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma] - \lambda_{1}(\operatorname{tr}[\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma])^{2} - \lambda_{2}\operatorname{tr}[(\Sigma^{\dagger}\Sigma)^{2}] + \frac{m_{l}\overline{c}}{2}\operatorname{tr}[E^{\dagger}\Sigma + \Sigma^{\dagger}E]$$



Chiral partner contribution $\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 \equiv \frac{m_{\sigma}^2 - m_{\pi}^2}{(\mu_{\sigma}^{\rm cr})^2}$

$$p_{\rm LSM}^{\rm sub} = p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} + f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left[\frac{4}{\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2} (\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)^2 \right]$$

 $\lim_{m_{\sigma} \to \infty} p_{\rm LSM}^{\rm sub} = p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub}$

LSM result is beyond lowest energy regime.

Sound velocity within mean field approximation

ChPT Lagrangian



$$p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} = f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left(\bar{\mu} - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}}\right)^2$$

$$\left(c_s^{\rm ChPT}\right)^2 = \frac{1 - 1/\bar{\mu}^4}{1 + 3/\bar{\mu}^4}$$

S. Hands, S. Kim and J. I. Skullerud, EPJC 48, 193 (2006)

LSM Lagrangian



Chiral partner contribution

20

$$\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 \equiv \frac{m_{\sigma}^2 - m_{\pi}^2}{(\mu_q^{\rm cr})^2}$$

$$p_{\rm LSM}^{\rm sub} = p_{\rm ChPT}^{\rm sub} + f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 \left[\frac{4}{\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2} (\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)^2 \right]$$

$$(c_s^{\text{LSM}})^2 = \frac{(1 - 1/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma - \pi}^2}{(1 + 3/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(3\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma - \pi}^2}$$

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

Sound velocity in LSM at high density regions

Sound velocity in LSM

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

21

 $(c_s^{\rm LSM})^2 = \frac{(1 - 1/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2}{(1 + 3/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(3\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2},$

• High density regions:

$$(\mu \gg \mu_{cr})$$
 $(c_s^{\text{LSM}})^2 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 - 8}{36} \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/\bar{\mu}^3)$
In contrast to ChPT,
LSM converges on $c_s^2 = 1/3$.

Sound velocity in LSM at high density regions

Sound velocity in LSM

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

21

$$(c_s^{\text{LSM}})^2 = \frac{(1 - 1/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta\bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2}{(1 + 3/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(3\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta\bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2}$$

• High density regions:

$$(\mu \gg \mu_{cr})$$
 $(c_s^{\text{LSM}})^2 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 - 8}{36} \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/\bar{\mu}^3)$
In contrast to ChPT,
LSM converges on $c_s^2 = 1/3$.

For $\delta \overline{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 \leq 8 \quad (m_{\sigma} \leq \sqrt{3}m_{\pi})$, converges on $c_s^2 = 1/3$ from below. For $\delta \overline{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 > 8 \ (m_{\sigma} > \sqrt{3}m_{\pi})$, converges on $c_s^2 = 1/3$ from above.

Peak in LSM and constraint

Sound velocity in LSM

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

$$(c_{s}^{\text{LSM}})^{2} = \frac{(1-1/\bar{\mu}^{4}) + 8(\bar{\mu}^{2}-1)/\delta\bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^{2}}{(1+3/\bar{\mu}^{4}) + 8(3\bar{\mu}^{2}-1)/\delta\bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^{2}},$$

For $\delta\bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^{2} > 8$ ($m_{\sigma} > \sqrt{3}m_{\pi}$),
Converges on $c_{s}^{2} = 1/3$ from above.
Appearance of peak
 $\bar{\mu} = \mu_{q}/\mu_{q}^{cr}$
No peak structure

More constraint

Sound velocity in LSM

 $(c_s^{\rm LSM})^2 = \frac{(1 - 1/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2}{(1 + 3/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(3\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2},$ For $\delta \overline{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 > 8 \ (m_{\sigma} > \sqrt{3}m_{\pi})$, 0.60 0.55 $m_{\sigma} = 5 m_{\pi}$ Converges on $c_s^2 = 1/3$ from above. 0.50 0.45 ~0.40 Appearance of peak 0.35 0.30 Peak position is only allowed for $\mu > m_{\pi}$. 0.25 $m_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2} m_{\pi}$ $m_{\sigma} = \sqrt{3} m_{\pi}$ $m_{\sigma} = 2 m_{\pi}$ 0.20 2.5 Ū 1.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 3.5 4.0 $\bar{\mu} = \mu_q / \mu_q^{\rm cr}$

Peak structure is induced by chiral partner.

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

μ dependence of trace anomaly

Lattice QC₂D observation



Kei lida and Etsuko Itou PTEP, 2022(11):111B01, 2022.

 μ dependence of trace anomaly has also been observed. It becomes negative in high-density regions.

μ dependence of trace anomaly

0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.25 0.5 0.5 0.75 $\mu/m_{PSB}/\mu^4$ $(e-3p)_{f}/\mu^4$ p/μ^4 p/μ^4 p_{SB}/μ^4 p_{S}/μ^4 $p_{S}/\mu^$

Lattice QC₂D observation

Kei lida and Etsuko Itou PTEP, 2022(11):111B01, 2022.

 μ dependence of trace anomaly has also been observed. It becomes negative in high-density regions. 24

LSM evaluationChiral partner contribution $(\Theta_{\text{LSM}}^{\text{sub}})^{\mu}_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\text{LSM}}^{\text{sub}} - 3p_{\text{LSM}}^{\text{sub}}$
 $= (\Theta_{\text{ChPT}})^{\mu}_{\mu} + \delta \Theta^{\mu}_{\mu},$ $\delta \bar{m}^2_{\sigma-\pi} \equiv \frac{m^2_{\sigma} - m^2_{\pi}}{(\mu^{\text{cr}}_q)^2}$ $\delta \Theta^{\mu}_{\mu} \propto 1/\delta \bar{m}^2_{\sigma-\pi}$

μ dependence of trace anomaly

Lattice QC₂D observation



Kei lida and Etsuko Itou PTEP, 2022(11):111B01, 2022.

 μ dependence of trace anomaly has also been observed. It becomes negative in high-density regions.

> For $m_{\sigma} > \sqrt{3}m_{\pi}$, appearance of peak in c_s^2 and negative trace anomaly

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

For $m_{\sigma} \leq \sqrt{3}m_{\pi}$, no peak structure in c_s^2 and positive trace anomaly

4. Summary

Summary

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

What contributions provide peak structure?







For $m_{\sigma} > \sqrt{3}m_{\pi}$,

- peak appears in c_s^2 for $\mu > m_{\pi}$.
- trace anomaly becomes negative.

Summary

What contributions provide peak structure?



LSM result is beyond ChPT result.



M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

For $m_{\sigma} > \sqrt{3}m_{\pi}$,

- peak appears in c_s^2 for $\mu > m_{\pi}$.
- trace anomaly becomes negative.

Applicability of LSM result

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

What contributions provide peak structure?





- QC₂D matter keeps confined? (Son and Stephanov, 2001)
 -μ dep. of Polyakov loop is contrary to T dep.. (e.g. lida, Itou and Lee, 2020)
- Quark degrees of freedom appears?
 Peak structure is induced in quark(yonic) picture.
 - (e.g. McLerran and Reddy. 2019)

Applicability of LSM result

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

What contributions provide peak structure?



 μ dependence of sound velocity is still unclear...



 c_s^2 approaches conformal limit from below or above?

T. Kojo, G. Baym and T. Hatsuda, Astrophys. J. 934, no.1, 46 (2022)
Y. Fujimoto and K. Fukushima, Phys. Rev. D 105, no.1, 014025 (2022)
J. Braun, A. Gei ß el and B. Schallmo, arXiv:2206.06328 [nucl-th]
L. McLerran and S. Reddy, PRL 122, no.12, 122701 (2019)

New benchmark

M. K., D. Suenaga arXiv:2402.00430 [hep-ph]

What contributions provide peak structure?



Further investigation on

- sigma meson mass
- peak position

based on lattice simulations/other models.



dense QCD matter

Thank you.