Compositeness of near-threshold states with Coulomb plus short range interaction

<u>Tomona Kinugawa</u> **Tetsuo Hyodo**

Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University

September 4th, UQS Workshop

(long talk: Sep.12th)

Near-threshold exotic hadrons

$X(3872) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$



S. K. Choi *et al*. (Belle), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003).

$T_{cc} \to D^0 D^0 \pi^+ \ (cc\bar{u}\bar{d})$



LHCb Collaboration, Nature Phys. **18** (2022) no.7, 751-754;

LHCb Collaboration, Nat. Commun. **13** 3351 (2022).

- internal structure?

exotic hadron

$\neq \ qqq$ or $q\bar{q}$ → multiquarks
hadronic molecules



multiquarks



hadronic molecules

Compositeness

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, 672–678 (1965);
T. Hyodo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1330045 (2013).

◉ definition

wavefunction





$$|\Psi\rangle = \sqrt{X}\,|\text{composite}\rangle + \sqrt{1-X}\,|\text{non composite}\rangle$$

compositeness **elementarity**

※ $0 \leq X \leq 1$ ⟶ $X > 0.5 \Leftrightarrow$ **composite dominant**

$X < 0.5 \Leftrightarrow$ **elementary dominant**

- **quantitative** analysis of internal structure

deuteron is not an elementary particle S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, 672–678 (1965).

$f_0(980)$, $a_0(980)$ Y. Kamiya and T. Hyodo, PTEP 2017, Phys. Rev. C 93, 035203 (2016); T. Sekihara, S. Kumano, Phys. Rev. D 92, 034010 (2015) etc.

$\Lambda(1405)$ T. Sekihara, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C 87, 045202 (2013) ; Z.H. Guo, J.A. Oller, Phys. Rev. D 93, 096001 (2016) etc.

nuclei & atomic systems T. Kinugawa, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C 106, 015205 (2022) etc.

Near-threshold states

Hyodo-san's talk

◉ near-threshold states with short range interaction



- at threshold ($E = 0$)

  completely composite ($X = 1$)

  $\because$ low-energy universality $|a_s| \to \infty$

  T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 055208 (2014) .

- near-threshold bound states

  ($E \neq 0$, but small **negative**)

  composite dominant ($X \sim 1$)

  C. Hanhart, J. R. Pelaez, and G. Rios, Phys. Lett. B **739**, 375 (2014);
  T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo Phys. Rev. C 109 , 045205 (2024).

- near-threshold resonances

  ($E \neq 0$, but small **positive**)

  non-composite dominant ($\mathcal{X} \sim 0$)

  T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo, arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].



Coulomb + short range systems

- ^{8}Be nuclei J. Hiura, and R. Tamagaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 52, 25 (1972).





resonance (w/ Coulomb)

bound (w/o Coulomb)

- $\Omega_{ccc}\,\Omega_{ccc}$ (HAL QCD) Y. Lyu, H.Tong, *et al*. [HAL QCD Coll.], Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 072003.





resonance (w/ Coulomb)

bound (w/o Coulomb)

- $\Xi^{-}\alpha$: Coulomb assisted bound state ? E. Hiyama, M. Isaka, T. Doi, and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. C 106, 064318 (2022).

Coulomb is important for near-threshold states!

Coulomb + short range systems

◉ Coulomb + short range interaction

H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 76, 38-50 (1949).

R. Oppenheim Berger and Larry Spruch, Phys. Rev. 138, B1106-B1115 (1965).

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16, 359 (1983).

R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809, 171 (2008).

C. H. Schmickler, H.-W. Hammer, and A.G. Volosniev, Physics Letters B 798, 135016 (2019).

S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida, arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

- we **cannot** expand scattering amplitude $f(k)$ in terms of k^2

$$\frac{1}{f(k)} = -\frac{1}{a_{\mathrm{s.r.}}} + \frac{r_{\mathrm{s.r.}}}{2}k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4) - ik$$

→ different from short range interaction

◉ nature of near-threshold state with Coulomb + short range interaction?



This work

near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction





framework : model with Feshbach method



- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering
- Coulomb scattering length, Coulomb effective range, a_B

numerical calculations & discussion

- investigate pole trajectory
- analyze internal structure with compositeness
- study universal nature of near-threshold states

This work

near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction





framework : model with Feshbach method

- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering



- Coulomb scattering length, Coulomb effective range, a_B

numerical calculations & discussion

- investigate pole trajectory
- analyze internal structure with compositeness
- study universal nature of near-threshold states

Coulomb+short range model

◉ Hamiltonian W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983). R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).

Q channel
⇔ b.s. w/o Coulomb



P channel
⇔ scattering w/ Coulomb

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_{PP} & \hat{H}_{PQ} \\ \hat{H}_{QP} & \hat{H}_{QQ} \end{pmatrix} =$$



H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. 19 287-313 (1962).

◉ pole condition H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 76, 38-50 (1949).
C. H. Schmickler, H.-W. Hammer, and A.G. Volosniev, Physics Letters B 798 (2019).

$$-\frac{1}{\boxed{a_s}} + \frac{\boxed{r_e}}{2}k^2 - ik \pm \frac{2}{\boxed{a_B}}\left[\log(-ia_Bk) + \psi\left(1 + \frac{i}{a_Bk}\right)\right] = 0$$

◉ compositeness X T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 055208 (2014) .

$$X = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d}{dE}\boxed{F(E)}}$$ self energy

long talk on Sep.12th

Coulomb+short range model

◉ short range limit $a_B \to \infty$

$$-\frac{1}{a_s}+\frac{r_e}{2}k^2-ik\pm\frac{2}{a_B}\left[\underbrace{\log(-ia_Bk)+\psi\left(1+\frac{i}{a_Bk}\right)}_{\to 0}\right]=0$$

$$\longrightarrow -\frac{1}{a_s}+\frac{r_e}{2}k^2-ik=0$$ short range interaction

◉ further low-energy limit $r_e \to 0$

- zero-range theory S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida, arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

$$\frac{ia_Bk}{2}\mp\log(-ia_Bk)+\psi\left(1+\frac{i}{a_Bk}\right)+\frac{a_B}{2a_s}=0$$

This work

near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction



framework : model with Feshbach method



- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering

ulomb scattering length, Coulomb effective range, a_B

numerical calculations & discussion

- investigate pole trajectory
- analyze internal structure with compositeness
- study universal nature of near-threshold states

Here we fix Bohr radius $a_B = 1$ and reduced mass $\mu = 1$.

Pole trajectory (repulsive Coulomb)

◉ pole trajectory in complex momentum k plane

- varying Coulomb scattering length a_s with fixed r_e and a_B

→ pole position (eigenmomentum) moves



- b.s directory goes to resonance
- $a_s \to \infty$ at threshold
- but no universality

∵ radius of w.f. $< \infty$

S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida, arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

Note : virtual states exist near Im $k < 0$ axis

Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)





- complex compositeness ⟵ $\mathscr{X}$, $\mathscr{Y}$, $\mathscr{Z}$ T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo, arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].
- states with large $|1/a_s|$ are elementary $\mathscr{Z}$ dominant
- structure of bound states ≈ resonances ∵ continuous X

Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)



- complex compositeness ← $\mathscr{X}$, $\mathscr{Y}$, $\mathscr{Z}$ T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo, arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].
- states with large $|1/a_s|$ are elementary $\mathscr{Z}$ dominant
- structure of bound states ≈ resonances ∵ continuous X
- remnant of short range universality in $|r_e| \ll |a_B|$ case
  $X \to 1$ in $B \to 0$ limit in short range

Pole trajectory (attractive Coulomb)

◉ pole trajectory in complex momentum k plane



- bound ≠ resonance

- bound pole
  → Coulomb g.s.



- pole cannot go to $k = 0$

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983);

S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida, arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

Compositeness (att. Coulomb b.s.)



- $1/a_s \to +\infty$: states becomes elementary dominant ($X \to 0$)
- no short range universality but $X \to 1$ in $B \to B_{\mathrm{Coulomb\ g.s.}}$ limit
  ∵ Coulomb g.s. has no bare state contribution (i.e. $X = 1$)
- Coulomb < short range ($r_e = -0.1$) : remnant of universality

Summary

9/12 (Thur.)

10:00-11:00
Tomona Kinugawa

near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction





- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering
- pole condition ⟵ $a_s,\ r_e,\ a_B$



- repulsive Coulomb
  bound → resonance (does not become virtual states)
  X is not necessary to be unity at threshold
  if Coulomb < s.r., remnant of s.r. universality can be seen
  nature of b.s. ≈ nature of resonance

- attractive Coulomb
  bound → Coulomb g.s. & virtual → resonance
  $X \to 1$ for bound state

Back up

Coulomb+short range model

◉ model

Coulomb



short range (s.r.)



Weinberg, S. Phys. Rev. 137, 672–678 (1965);
V. Baru, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart, Y. Kalashnikova, A.E. Kudryavtsev, Phys. Lett. B 586, 53–61 (2004);
T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 055208 (2014) .

◉ Hamiltonian

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983);
H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. 19 287-313 (1962).

R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_{PP} & \hat{H}_{PQ} \\ \hat{H}_{QP} & \hat{H}_{QQ} \end{pmatrix} =$$



Q channel
⇔ b.s. w/o Coulomb



P channel
⇔ scattering w/ Coulomb

Coulomb+short range model

◉ Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle \quad |\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |P\rangle \\ |Q\rangle \end{pmatrix}$

$$\hat{H}_{PP}|P\rangle + \hat{H}_{PQ}|Q\rangle = E|P\rangle$$
$$\hat{H}_{QQ}|Q\rangle + \hat{H}_{QP}|P\rangle = E|Q\rangle$$

◉ effective Hamiltonian (channel eliminating)

$$\hat{H}_{P\mathrm{ch}}|P\rangle = E|P\rangle \quad \hat{H}_{P\mathrm{ch}} = \hat{H}_{PP} + \hat{H}_{PQ}(E - \hat{H}_{QQ})^{-1}\hat{H}_{QP}$$

Coulomb+short range model

◉ Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle \quad |\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |P\rangle \\ |Q\rangle \end{pmatrix}$

$$\hat{H}_{PP}|P\rangle + \hat{H}_{PQ}|Q\rangle = E|P\rangle$$

$$\hat{H}_{QQ}|Q\rangle + \hat{H}_{QP}|P\rangle = E|Q\rangle$$

◉ effective Hamiltonian (channel eliminating)

$$\hat{H}_{P\mathrm{ch}}|P\rangle = E|P\rangle \quad \hat{H}_{P\mathrm{ch}} = \underbrace{\boxed{\hat{H}_{PP}}}_{= \hat{H}^0 + \hat{V}_P} + \underbrace{\boxed{\hat{H}_{PQ}(E - \hat{H}_{QQ})^{-1}\hat{H}_{QP}}}_{= \hat{V}_Q}$$

$$\longrightarrow \hat{H}_{P\mathrm{ch}} = \hat{H}^0 + (\hat{V}_P + \hat{V}_Q)$$

$\hat{H}^0$: free Hamiltonian $\quad \hat{V}_P$: pure Coulomb interaction

$\hat{V}_Q$: short range interaction

Coulomb+short range model

◉ **T-matrix**

H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. 19 287-313 (1962); W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983); R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).

Lippmann-Schwinger eq. : $\hat{T} = [(\hat{V}_P + \hat{V}_Q)^{-1} - \hat{G}^0]^{-1}$

$\Leftrightarrow$ Feshbach method : $\hat{T} = \hat{T}^P + \hat{T}^{\mathrm{res}}$

$$\hat{T}^P = [\hat{V}_P^{-1} - \hat{G}^0]^{-1}, \quad \hat{T}^{\mathrm{res}} = \boxed{\hat{T}^P \hat{V}_P^{-1}} \boxed{[\hat{V}_Q^{-1} - \hat{G}_P]^{-1}} \boxed{\hat{V}_P^{-1} \hat{T}^P}$$



pure Coulomb







Coulomb+short range model

◉ pole condition $\hat{T} = \hat{T}^P + \hat{T}^{\mathrm{res}}$

pole of $T(k,k')$ $\Leftrightarrow$ pole of $T^{\mathrm{res}}(k,k') \Leftrightarrow \boxed{[V_Q^{-1} - G_P]^{-1}} = \infty$





$H_{QP}G_PH_{PQ}$



$$\longrightarrow S(E) = (E-\varepsilon_d)^{-1} + (E-\varepsilon_d)^{-1}F(E)S(E)$$
$$= [E - \varepsilon_d - F(E)]^{-1}$$

$\longrightarrow$ pole condition : $E - \boxed{\varepsilon_d} - \boxed{F(E)} = 0$

bare state energy self energy

Coulomb+short range model

◉ self energy $F(E)$ **in low-energy limit**

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983).

- attractive Coulomb

$$F(k) = \frac{A}{2\pi}\left[c - \frac{1}{2}ia_B k + \log(-ia_B k) + \psi\left(1 - \frac{i}{a_B k}\right)\right]$$

- repulsive Coulomb

$$F(k) = -\frac{A}{2\pi}\left[c + \frac{1}{2}ia_B k + \log(-ia_B k) + \psi\left(1 + \frac{i}{a_B k}\right)\right]$$

A : constant with dimension of energy

c : dimensionless constant

$\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\log(\Gamma(x))$: digamma function

Coulomb+short range model

◉ pole condition **in low-energy limit**

- Coulomb scattering length a_s and effective range r_e

$$(\text{amplitude})^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_e}{2}k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4) - ik + 2\log(-ik) + 2\psi\left(1 + \frac{i}{k}\right) + \dots,$$

R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).

$$\longrightarrow a_s = -a_B\left[\frac{4\pi}{A}\varepsilon_d \pm 2c\right]^{-1}, \quad r_e = -\frac{4\pi}{Aa_B\mu}$$

- pole condition with a_s and r_e H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 76, 38-50 (1949).

$$-\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_e}{2}k^2 - ik \pm \frac{2}{a_B}\left[\log(-ia_Bk) + \psi\left(1 + \frac{i}{a_Bk}\right)\right] = 0$$

◉ compositeness X T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 055208 (2014) .

$$X = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d}{dE}\boxed{F(E)}}$$

self energy

far from threshold (repulsive Coulomb)

◉ imaginary part of eigenenergy in complex momentum k plane

- far from threshold in $1/a_s \to -\infty$ limit



dotted lines :$1/r_e$

- Im $k \to 1/r_e$

→ trajectory close to that of resonance in ERE



T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 132002 (2013) .

Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)



- $\pm 1/a_B$: Coulomb force dominant region
- $\pm 1/|r_e|$: short range universal region

Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)



- $\pm 1/a_B$: Coulomb force dominant region
- $\pm 1/|r_e|$: short range universal region
- remnant of short range universality in $|r_e| \ll |a_B|$ case
  $X \to 1$ in $B \to 0$ limit in short range

Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)



- compositeness of resonances ⟵ $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$, $\mathcal{Z}$ T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo, arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].
- all states are interpretable ∵ no virtual states
- states with large $|1/a_s|$ are elementary $\mathcal{Z}$ dominant
- nature of bound states = nature of resonances
  ∵ X is continuous across threshold

far from threshold (attractive Coulomb)

◉ imaginary part of eigenenergy in complex momentum k plane

- far from threshold in $1/a_s \to -\infty$ limit



dotted lines :$1/r_e$

- Im k of resonance pole
- Im $k \to 1/r_e$

→ trajectory close to that of resonance in ERE

- same as repulsive case

Compositeness (att. Coulomb resonance)

strong Coulomb



weak Coulomb



- $\pm 1/a_B$: Coulomb force dominant region
- $\pm 1/|r_e|$: short range universal region
- compositeness of unstable resonances are complex $X \in \mathbb{C}$

Compositeness (att. Coulomb resonance)



- $\mathscr{X} < 0$ ➞ non-interpretable in this region
- but $\mathscr{X} \geq 0$ in far-threshold region with large $|1/a_s|$
  ➞ states are $\mathscr{Z}$ dominant with large bare state contribution

Complex compositeness

- probabilistic interpretation?

$X \in \mathbb{C}$ and $X + Z = 1$

- If Im X is large, it seems that reasonable interpretation is impossible × △

- our proposal

complex X plane



b.s.

i) $\mathscr{X}$: probability of certainly finding $|\mathrm{composite}\rangle$
ii) $\mathscr{Z}$: probability of certainly finding $|\mathrm{elementary}\rangle$
iii) $\mathscr{Y}$: probability of uncertain identification

uncertain appears from T. Berggren, Phys. Lett. B 33, 547 (1970).

- finite lifetime (uncertainty in energy)
- separation from B.G.

spectrum



energy

complex compositeness $X \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{Z}$

Definition

T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo
arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].

◉ conditions for sensible interpretation

- normalization : $\mathscr{X} + \mathscr{Y} + \mathscr{Z} = 1$ for probabilistic interpretation
- in bound state limit : $\mathscr{X} \to X$, $\mathscr{Z} \to Z$ and $\mathscr{Y} \to 0$

$\mathscr{Y}$ characterizes uncertainty of resonance

◉ new interpretation

$$\mathscr{X} + \alpha \mathscr{Y} = |X|, \quad \mathscr{Z} + \alpha \mathscr{Y} = |Z|$$

$$\mathscr{X} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)|X| - \alpha|Z| + \alpha}{2\alpha - 1}$$

$$\mathscr{Z} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)|Z| - \alpha|X| + \alpha}{2\alpha - 1}$$

$$\mathscr{Y} = \frac{|X| + |Z| - 1}{2\alpha - 1}$$



α reflects uncertain nature of resonances

Definition

- if $\alpha > 1/2$, $\mathscr{Y}$ is always positive but $\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Z}$ can be negative

	$\mathcal{X} > \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$	composite dominant
$\mathcal{X} \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{Z} \geq 0$	$\mathcal{Z} > \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}$	elementary dominant
	$\mathcal{Y} > \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}$	uncertain
$\mathcal{X} < 0$ or $\mathcal{Z} < 0$		non-interpretable



<u>our criterion for physical "state"</u>

$$\Gamma \leq \text{Re } E$$

- exclude poles which we cannot regard as physical "state" from probabilistic interpretation

$\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{Z}$ dominant regions
and
non-interpretable region

uncertainty in resonances

a single
measurement



sum of measurements of a bound states / resonances