Folding Model Analysis of Elastic Scattering between Polarized Proton and ⁶He

M.Tanifuji S.Ishikawa E.Hiyama Y.Yamamoto Y.Iseri Hosei University Hosei University Nara Women's University Tsuru University Chiba-Keizai College

Polarized p+⁶He elastic scattering

Measurements of Ay at RIKEN

➢ M.Hatano et al. (2003)

S.Sakaguchi et al. (2005)



Microscopic Calculation S.P.Weppner, Ofir Garcia & Ch.Elster, PRC61(2000)044601

"full-folding optical potential model"



Our approach simple folding model ⁶He excitation(breakup) is not included Two kinds of the folding model Cluster folding (CF) model ⁶He = ⁴He + n + n ■ $V(p^{-6}He) \leftarrow V(p^{-4}He) + 2 V(p^{-1}he)$ 6-body folding (6BF) model ⁶He = 6N (= 2p + 4n) V(p-⁶He) ← 2V(p-p) + 4 V(p-n)

Folding model
Folding potential

$$U^{\text{fold}}(R) = 4\pi \sum_{x=\alpha,n,n} \int r_x^2 dr_x V_{px}(R,r_x) \rho_x^{(0)}(r_y)$$

To calculate the folding potential, the ⁶He density and the interactions concerned are required. ⁶He

- For cluster folding (CF) model
 6Ho dopoity 2 body cluster
 - ⁶He density → 3-body cluster distribution
 - p-n interaction
 - \succ p- α interaction

For 6-body folding (6BF) model

- > ⁶He density \rightarrow 6-body nucleon density
- p-N interaction

⁶He density ■ 3-body density

Gaussian expansion method (GEM): Hiyama et al. PRC 53(1996)2075 ■3 body model: ⁶He = α +n+n variational calculation with rearrangement channels interaction ■N-N : AV8 N-α: Kanada potential slightly modified to reproduce B.E. of ⁶He Pauli principle between N-α 6-body density > decompositon of $\alpha \rightarrow 4N$ one-range Gauss wave function $r_0 = 1.4 \text{ fm}$



p-N interaction

CEG (complex effective interaction with Gaussian form)

- \succ effective potential \leftarrow N-N G-matrix
 - complex central force
 - complex LS force
- ➤ references
 - Yamaguchi et al., PTP 70(1983)459
 - Nagata et al., PTP 73(1985)512
 - Yamaguchi et al., PTP 76(1986)1289

Progress of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 76, No. 6, December 1986

Systematic Analyses of Proton Elastic Scattering between $65 < E_p < 200$ MeV with Microscopic Effective Interaction

Norio YAMAGUCHI, Sinobu NAGATA and Junji MICHIYAMA*)

Department of Applied Physics, Miyazaki University, Miyazaki 880

(Received March 14, 1986)

Systematic analyses of proton elastic scattering from nuclei between the bombarding energies of 65 $< E_{\phi} < 200 \, {\rm MeV}$ were performed with use of the folding model potentials. The density- and incident energy-dependent effective interactions to be folded were evaluated microscopically under the Brucckner-Hartree-Fock approximation in nuclear matter. The experimental data of cross sections, analyzing powers and spin rotation functions could be reproduced satisfactorily. Especially the quality of fit was excellent for the data at $E_{\rho}{=}65 \, {\rm MeV}$. It was shown that the medium effects still play important roles in this energy region. Dependence of the folding model potential on the effective interactions was also investigated. The Pauli- and starting energy-rearrangement potentials were found to reduce the net depth of the folding potential by more than 15%. Some problems in the folding procedure were also discussed.

succeed in describing the various p-A elastic scatterings

in actual calculation

- Exchange term
 localize with usual technique
 local density approx., local momentum approx.(selfconsistent cal.)
- ➤ imag. pot.

effective k-mass factor = 0.7 (const.)

- LS interaction
 - finite range correction
 - Rikus and von Geramb, NP A426(1984)496





D.Gupta, C.Samanta & R.Kanungo, NP A674(2000) 77

p-α optical potential parameters

p+4He at 72 MeV/u

	Gupta	Set-1	Set-2	Set-3	Set-4	Set-5	Set-6
V_0	36.00	55.229	64.1345	64.1345	54.8672	55.0140	49.5960
$\mathbf{r}_{0\mathrm{R}}$	1.044	0.8305	0.7440	0.7440	0.8566	0.8565	0.8921
\mathbf{a}_{R}	0.096	0.2182	0.2562	0.2562	0.0960	0.0960	0.1604
W_0	0.0	6.5892	6.3380	6.3380	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\mathbf{r}_{0\mathrm{I}}$		1.3143	1.3325	1.4497			
aı		0.1750	0.1148	0.2089			
W_{D}	18.20	32.309	46.2287	46.229	31.9247	31.9284	29.6771
roid	1.158	1.3143	1.3325	1.3196	1.1248	1.1235	1.1361
a _{ID}	0.387	0.1750	0.1148	0.1100	0.2811	0.2814	0.2683
$\mathbf{r}_{0\mathrm{C}}$	1.40	-1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
Vso	7.46	2.2364	2.7261	2.7520	3.9247	(*) 3.2524	3.3281
$\mathbf{r}_{0\mathrm{RS}}$	1.044	1.1131	1.1003	1.1003	0.8563	0.6475	0.8271
ars	0.186	0.0364	0.2252	0.2252	0.4914	0.4549	0.5159
J _R /A	177.3	168.4	162.1	162.1	151.6	151.9	166.2
rmsr	1.332	1.304	1.320	1.320	1.112	1.112	1.248
J _I /A	342.5	383.4	365.9	370.3	389.4	388.5	349.1
rmsr	2.407	-2.130	2.090	2.097	2.115	-2.114	2.103

(*) In Set-5, Spin-orbit potential has a Woods-Saxon derivative form. In other sets, the Thomas form is used.

Results Cross section

- Cluster folding (CF) model gives a good description
- > 6-body folding (6BF) model shows a discrepancy at middle angles (θ=40°-60°)

Vector analyzing power: Ay

- CF calculation reproduces the characteristic of the exp. data.
 - change of the sign
 - negative minimum at a middle angular region
 - but, position of minimum slightly shifts backward
- 6BF calclulation does not reproduce the exp. data
 - positive values in the entire region



Comparison of potentials

 Folding potential has a long tail
 halo neutron

The phenomenological optical potential which can reproduce the experimental data has a longer and larger tail

 especially for LS pot.



Possibility of improvement

Due to the existence of the valence 2n, α in ⁶He is not the α in free space.

• α in ⁶He may be enlarged from free- α size.

Test :

change the p- α pot. in CF model

- \succ increase radius parameters (r₀) by 10%
- increase diffuseness parameters (a) by 0.1 fm
- change depth parameters so as to keep volume integrals

Improvement of the fit is obtained



Summary: p-6He elastic scattering at 71 MeV/u

Folding model calculation

- Cluster folding (CF) model
 - ⁶He= α +n+n ← GEM (Gaussian expansion method)
 - **p**- α int. \leftarrow optical pot.
 - p-n int. ← N-N effective int. (CEG)
- 6-body folding (6BF) model
 - ⁶He= 6N
 - p-N int. \leftarrow N-N effective int. (CEG)
- Comparison of calculated results and exp. data

CF model

- cross section → sufficiently well reproduced
- Ay \rightarrow succeeded in reproducing a gross feature
- folding potential $\rightarrow p-\alpha$ component is important
- ➢ 6BF model
 - fails to reproduce the experimental data (especially Ay)

A key to the good description of the p+⁶He scattering is to improve the description of the p+⁴He scattering in ⁶He