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Abstract. In order to understand the r-process nucleosynthesis, we suggest precision required for mass and $\beta$-decay half-life measurements planned at future RI-beam facilities. To satisfy a simple requirement that we put on nuclear model predictions, it is concluded that the detectors for the mass measurements must have a precision of $1\sigma \lesssim 250$ keV, and that the detectors for the half-life measurements demand a precision of $1\sigma \lesssim 0.15$ ms. Both the above precisions are required at the neutron richness of $A/Z = 3.0$ at the $N=82$ shell closure and $A/Z = 2.9$ at the $N=50$ shell closure. For the doubly magic nuclide $^{78}\text{Ni}$, a precision of $1\sigma \lesssim 300$ keV and $1\sigma \lesssim 5$ ms are required, respectively, for mass and half-life measurements. This analysis aims to provide a first rough guide for ongoing detector developments.

1 Introduction

The r-process nucleosynthesis is called for to explain the origin of about half the elements heavier than iron observed in nature. Its astrophysical origin remains a mystery. The r-process is one of the most complex nucleosynthesis process to explore because of the numerous difficulties still affecting the description of both the explosive astrophysical conditions believed to host the process and the nuclear properties of the exotic neutron-rich nuclei involved. From the nuclear physics point of view, the major difficulty lies in the determination of nuclear data for the thousands of nuclei far from the $\beta$-stability, for which essentially no experimental information is available.
data exist nowadays. These concern mainly nuclear structure properties, β-decays, neutron captures, photodisintegrations as well as fission processes. In particular, mass predictions for neutron-rich nuclei play a key role since they affect all the nuclear quantities of relevance in the r-process, i.e. the β-decay, neutron capture and photodisintegration rates, as well as the fission probabilities.

Future RI-beam facilities, which are now under construction or planning, place their first priority to measure masses and half-lives of neutron-rich nuclei which have not been observed yet and are relevant to the r-process studies. In the coming experiments, it is clearly meaningful to measure such masses and half-lives of unknown neutron-rich nuclei. In addition, we emphasize that information on their experimental errors is crucial to promote theoretical studies on mass and β-decay half-life. The present paper aims at guiding such future experiment in defining how far from the stability line and how much precisely these physical quantities should be measured.

Different approaches can be followed to answer such questions. However, one major fact that should be kept in mind is that the r-process astrophysical site remains totally unknown to date. Although the solar system signature clearly shows that the nuclear mechanisms responsible for the production of r-process nuclei concern neutron captures and beta-decay in the exotic neutron-rich region, no astrophysics model can nowadays consistently predict the neutron densities required for a successful r-process. The “hot bubble” scenario or the postexplosion outflows expected from proto-neutron stars in the seconds after successful core-collapse supernovae are thought to be a likely candidate site for the r-process (e.g., Meyer et al. 1992; Woosley et al. 1994). However, recent models of spherical “neutrino-driven winds” from proto-neutron stars (e.g. Takahashi et al. 1994; Thompson et al. 2001) fail to produce robust r-process nucleosynthesis up to and beyond the third ($A \approx 195$) r-process peak for “canonical” neutron stars with $M = 1.4 M_\odot$ and $R = 10$ km. The other proposed sites include such scenarios as “neutron star mergers” (Freiburghaus et al. 1999), weak r-process by the shock processing of the helium and/or carbon shells of core-collapse supernovae (Truran & Cowan 2000), magnetic proto-neutron star winds (Thompson 2003), prompt explosions from collapsing O-Ne-Mg cores (Wanajo et al. 2004), or even interestingly, some settings with rapid ejection of high-entropy but nearly symmetric matter to produce the r-process nuclei without excess neutrons (Meyer 2002). Each of them, however, faces severe problems and cannot at the present time explain the production and galactic enrichment of the r-process nuclei observed in the Universe. Moreover, recent observational studies (e.g. Sneden et al. 2000) of the relative abundance pattern of the r-process elements in very metal poor stars and also analysis (e.g., Wasserburg, Busso & Gallino 1996) based on the isotopic abundances for the early solar system measured in meteorites have suggested that different r-process sites are responsible for the lighter ($A \lesssim 135-140$) and heavier ($A \gtrsim 135-140$) r-process nuclei. This makes the determination of the physical characteristics for the r-process environment further complicated.

For the above reason, it remains extremely difficult to estimate the precision required for mass and β-decay half-life measurements on the basis of r-process
abundance calculations. In order to answer the objective questions treated in the present paper, we have therefore chosen to consider criteria independently of any "realistic" astrophysics calculations. Even when the future experiments are performed, it is clear that theoretical predictions will still have to fill the experimental gaps for the thousands of nuclear data required in r-process simulations. In the first step, these future measurements will therefore mainly help in improving the theoretical models by constraining them further on nuclei closer to the one involved by the r-process, or even directly involved. They might bring new insights on nuclear physics phenomena at large neutron excesses as well as improve the present parametrizations of mass formulas. Although most of the recent mass formulas show fits to experimental masses of similar quality, the mass extrapolations far from the valley of β-stability can differ from each other quite significantly (for a recent review, see Lunney et al. 2003). We have therefore chosen to estimate the nuclei to be involved and the required precision of future measurements by considering arguments on simple astrophysics considerations and existing nuclear model predictions as explained below.

When the future experiments supply with information on new masses and half-lives with a reasonable precision, model predictions will tend to converge if their parameters are updated to fit the newly measured masses. In this regard, mass formula studies would not benefit if the experimental errors do not resolve the differences between the model predictions for the most exotic neutron-rich nuclei accessible (or ideally directly involved in the r-process nuclear flow). Accordingly, as a first rough guide for the required precision in detector developments, we put a rather simple requirement to mass and half-life measurements as follows: Experimental errors subsidiary to the r-process nuclei need to be less than half the difference between the masses (or half-lives) predicted by the different nuclear models. We stress that the total length of the error bar obtained in such a procedure corresponds to ±3σ. In the following, we discuss such a criterion on neutron-rich nuclei at the N=50 and N=82 shell closures. These regions are expected to become accessible in near-future experiments and are known to be of first importance in the development of nuclear structure studies, as well as in our understanding of the r-process nucleosynthesis.

2 Properties of Considered Mass Formulas

We consider here three mass formulas, known as HFB-2 (Goriely et al. 2002; Sanyn et al. 2001), FRDM (Möller et al. 1995), and KUTY (Koura et al. 2000), available for a wide-range use in the nuclear chart and hence at this moment appropriate for r-process abundance calculations. The three mass formulas predict the 2135 measured masses with a root-mean-square deviation of about ∼680 keV (see Lunney et al. 2003), although they were derived from quite different leading principles. The HFB-2 model is taken as representative of the microscopic mass formulas recently derived within the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov framework based on an effective nuclear force of the Skyrme type. On the other hand, the KUTY mass formula corresponds to a semi-empirical approach making use of an empirical gross
Fig. 1. (a) A sectional diagram of the $\beta$-stability valley of its neutron-rich side in the $N=82$ plane. The values of mass excess from three theoretical mass formulas are shown against proton number, $Z$. The open circles connected with the dashed line depict the mass excess from HFB-2, the triangles connected with the solid line from KUTY, and the crosses from FRDM. The origin ($Z=54, N=82$) is the stable $^{136}$Xe while the edge of the abscissa corresponds to the HFB-2 neutron drip line. (b) Predicted mass differences are plotted against $Z$ for the $N=82$ isotones. The filled circles show the mass difference between HFB-2 and KUTY, and the crosses between FRDM and KUTY. The scale of the abscissa is the same as in (a).

The macroscopic properties of spherical nuclei and spherically-based shell terms for the microscopic corrections. Here the deviation from the gross properties is explained microscopically as shell and deformation effects. The use of a large number of parameters to describe the single-particle potential and nuclear gross properties enables the KUTY model to reproduce relatively well all experimentally known masses as well as the single-particle energy levels. The FRDM model is also of the semi-empirical type and was derived from the Finite-Range Droplet Model for the macroscopic part, and from a deformed single-particle potential for the microscopic part.

In Fig. 1(a), we illustrate a global feature of the $\beta$-stability valley given by the three above-mentioned models. In the figure a sectional diagram of the neutron-rich side of the valley is shown for the $N=82$ isotones. The microscopic mass formula is seen to give a steeper slope of the $\beta$-stability valley and hence predicts larger masses compared with those predicted from semi-empirical formulas. This can be seen in Fig. 1(a) especially for low $Z$, i.e. at large neutron excesses.

Figure 1(b) depicts the mass differences between HFB-2 and KUTY and between FRDM and KUTY for the $N=82$ isotones. In particular, it is seen that the mass difference between HFB-2 and KUTY is prominent and increases with
decreasing proton numbers, i.e. when approaching the neutron-drip line. Namely, both models predict significantly different masses for the neutron-rich nuclei far from the stability line. When applied to r-process calculations, such mass differences inevitably lead to different r-abundance patterns (Motizuki et al. 2004; Wanajo et al. 2004). In the following, we will focus on the two mass formulas, HFB-2 and KUTY, for which the mass differences are seen to be the most significant ones.

3 Required Precision for Mass and Half-Life Measurements

The r-process is believed to reach the neutron richness of $A/Z \approx 3$ in dynamical simulations (e.g. Motizuki et al. 2004) as well as in the simple parametrized site-independent model (e.g. Goriely & Arnould 1996). For example, within the canonical model prediction making use of the KUTY masses and the half-lives calculated by the second version of the gross theory (see below), the r-process path determines $^{123}$Nb as the polestar neutron-richest nuclide among the N=82 isotones. This result is obtained assuming the so-called waiting-point approximation at a temperature of $1.5 \times 10^9$ K and a neutron density of $10^{24}$ cm$^{-3}$ in order to reproduce the location and width of the $A \approx 130$ peak observed in the solar r-process abundance distribution. The nuclide $^{123}$Nb is characterized by a ratio of $A/Z = 3.0$. We accordingly analyze the mass differences between the KUTY and HFB-2 models for the N=82 isotones down to $^{123}$Nb. As seen in Fig. 2(a), the mass difference at $^{123}$Nb is about 3 MeV. As mentioned in Sect. 1, the required total error bar $\pm 3\sigma$ is set to half the mass difference, so that the detectors for mass measurements must have a precision of $1\sigma \approx 250$ keV at the neutron richness of $A/Z = 3.0$.

Similarly, we calculate the $\beta$-decay half-life of the N=82 isotones, and more particularly of $^{123}$Nb, within different approaches to estimate the precision required in half-life measurements. One of the widely used models used for astrophysics applications is the second version of the gross theory, known as GT-2 (Tachibana et al. 1990, Tachibana & Yamada 1995). We apply this model using both the HFB-2 and KUTY $Q_\beta$ predictions. Figure 2(b) shows the half-life difference between both models for the odd-Z N=82 nuclei. We observe that the half-lives calculated from the microscopic mass formula are shorter than those from the semi-empirical mass formula. This can be understood by the steeper slope of the $\beta$-stability valley for the microscopic mass formula: the HFB-2 model leads to essentially larger $Q_\beta$-values than the KUTY model. In particular, for $^{123}$Nb, the half-life is 3 ms for GT-2 with HFB-2 masses and 5 ms with KUTY.

However, the uncertainties in $\beta$-decay predictions stem not only from mass predictions, but also from the theoretical model used to describe the weak interaction. Mean field and shell models have been applied in recent years to the calculation of the $\beta$-decay rates of nuclei of astrophysics interest. In the particular case of $^{123}$Nb, these models predict a half-life of about 4 ms for the DF3 density functional plus continuum QRPA approximation of Borzov (2003) including only the allowed transitions and about 3 ms if the first forbidden transitions are also included. A
shorter half-life of about 2 ms is obtained by the shell model of Martinez-Pinedo & Langanke (1999). Considering such half-life differences for $^{123}$Nb, we find that $1\sigma \lesssim 0.15$ ms at $A/Z = 3.0$ is required for the half-life measurements.

A similar procedure can be followed in the $N=50$ region. We find that similar precisions ($1\sigma \lesssim 250$ keV for masses and $1\sigma \lesssim 0.15$ ms for half-lives) are required from GT-2 calculations at $A/Z = 2.9$ on the $N=50$ shell closure, i.e., for $^{76}$Fe. However, if we consider the doubly magic nuclide $^{78}$Ni which has been observed but for which the mass and the half-life remains experimentally unknown, the same criterion leads us to a precision of $1\sigma \lesssim 300$ keV for mass and of $1\sigma \lesssim 5$ ms for half-life measurements.

4 Summary and Feasibility

We have derived the required precision of $1\sigma \lesssim 250$ keV and $1\sigma \lesssim 0.15$ ms, respectively, for mass and half-life measurements at the neutron richness of $A/Z = 3.0$ at the $N=82$ shell closure and at the $A/Z = 2.9$ at the $N=50$ shell closure. For the doubly magic nuclide $^{78}$Ni, we have found that the detectors must have a precision of $1\sigma \lesssim 300$ keV for mass and of $1\sigma \lesssim 5$ ms for half-life measurements. Note that not only statistical but also systematic errors should be included in the above discussion. It should also be kept in mind that the precision estimate presented here
is based on simple arguments due to our ignorance of the astrophysical site for the r-process. Future development in nucleosynthesis models (Takahashi, this volume) will hopefully bring new insight on the nuclear flow followed by the r-process and consequently on the nuclei involved and the major nuclear quantities of relevance.

Experiments at RIKEN RI-Beam Factory will start in 2007. Here RI-beams are planned to be produced by fragmentation and uranium fission methods. The intensity of the RI-beams will be strong enough to reach \(^{78}\text{Ni} (A/Z=2.8)\) and \(^{76}\text{Fe} (A/Z=2.9)\) at the \(N = 50\) shell closure to measure these masses and half-lives with the suggested precisions. However, the expectations of the RI-beam intensity created with the fragmentation method at present come down to one particle per \(10^5\) sec at the \(A/Z=3.0\) \(^{123}\text{Nb}\) region at the \(N = 82\) shell closure. This means that the measurements with the required precisions might be difficult for the present technology: It is indispensable to contrive new type of detectors to overcome this difficulty.

Future measurements with better precision are strongly encouraged in order to develop theories of nuclear masses and half-lives. Progress in these theories and above all in microscopic approaches, as well as further developments of astrophysics models will help us to solve the long-standing mystery that the r-process nucleosynthesis still represents.
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